



F.No.89-429/E-139719/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Liberal College of Education, Pigdamber Rau, Mhow, Indore, Madhya Pradesh dated 30/09/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/APP201660177 (Addl.)/309<sup>th</sup>/D.El.Ed./2019/204944 dated 30.07.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 14/05/2018. The reply of the Show Cause Notice of the institution has not been received. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for D.El.Ed. additional intake with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Gargi Roy, Director, Liberal College of Education, Pigdamber Rau, Mhow, Indore, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Our college had duly submitted the reply vide letter dt. 11.06.2018 which was duly acknowledged by NCTE. The college is preferring appeal against the withdrawal order passed in B.Ed. course and accordingly NCTE was requested to keep the file of the D.El.Ed. as pending. It is matter of fact that the institution filed appeal before the NCTE for B.Ed. course which was allowed and the matter was remanded back to WRC for consideration. The institution also filed writ petition and contempt petition in Delhi High Court based on which the matter was considered by the WRC and an opportunity of hearing was given to our institution. It is submitted that the recognition of the B.Ed. course was duly restored by WRC in its 311<sup>th</sup> meeting held from 25-27 September, Hence the institute is not stand alone and is duly covered under the

definition of composite unit as defined in the Act. It is submitted that the recognition of the D.El.Ed course ought to be restored in the interest of justice. The institution has duly complied with the Regulations time and again and has a reputation of imparting Quality Education."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 30/07/2019 withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course is on the ground that reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 14/05/2018 was not received by WRC. Appeal Committee noted that above S.C.N. was based on ground that recognition for B.Ed. course granted to appellant institution was withdrawn rendering the appellant institution as a standalone institution. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had replied to the S.C.N. by its letter dated 11/06/2018 which is found available on the regulatory file. Appellant by its reply to S.C.N. had requested W.R.C. to keep on hold the withdrawal of D.El.Ed. programme till its appeal against withdrawal of B.Ed. course is decided.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant with its appeal memoranda has now enclosed a copy of order no. WRC/APP2256/223/B.Ed./311<sup>th</sup> /2019/206402 dated 04/10/2019 by which the recognition of B.Ed. course earlier withdrawn by order dated 04/05/2018 was overruled and recognition for 2 units of B.Ed. was restored.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee has taken note that appellant institution had replied to the S.C.N. dated 14/05/2018 and the cause of withdrawal i.e. standalone status of the appellant institution has also ceased to exist. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned order of withdrawal dated 30/07/2019.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned order of withdrawal dated 30/07/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Liberal College of Education, Pigdamber Rau, Mhow, Indore, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The President, Liberal College of Education, Pigdamber Rau, IIM Road, Mhow, Indore – 453331, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-430/E-139680/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of HCMSK (Heroor Chandraraya Gouda Malhar Siddappa Gouda Kareddy) College of Education, Basaveshwar Colony, Raichur, Karnataka dated 10/06/2019 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO1977/B.Ed./KA/2019/103501 dated 22.04.2019 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Management has not created the required infrastructural facilities as per NCTE norms to run B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programmes. The building plan is not as per NCTE requirements. The Principal is not possessing the required qualification as per NCTE Norms. Reserve Fund and Endowment Fund. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition for B.Ed. course under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act."

**AND WHEREAS** S/Sh. M. Veeresh, Member and Manjunath, Staff, HCMSK (Heroor Chandraraya Gouda Malhar Siddappa Gouda Kareddy) College of Education, Basaveshwar Colony, Raichur, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The Management considered both courses separately and provided separate infrastructure like building staff and other physical facilities. Sent information about only B.Ed. college in every SCN separate BCC, BP, LUC and EC. We sent original document of B.Ed. college FD's for both courses are there original FD's of 12 Lac sent to SRC, Bangalore original LUC is separately submitted along with SCN. LUC indicate clearly land area covered document attached with this letter. We taken EC of our land and first we sent photo copy and after your demand we sent same original copy to SRC, Bangalore. Our two institutions are B.Ed. and D.Ed. running in separate buildings and we have given this information to SRC, Bangalore. While shifting building we shifted only B.Ed. college into new building which is having built up area 2251 sq. mts. we paid 1,50,000/- building shifting fee to SRC, Bangalore document showing this has been

attached with this letter we provided separate building for D.El.Ed. and still it is running in that building regarding this we have been given information to SRC, Bangalore document showing this has been attached with this letter. We submitted a list of 1 and 15 faculty staff duly approved by Registrar, Gulbarga University, Kalaburagi among 7 pages the Registrar Gulbarga University Kalaburagi has put signature on covering letter and last page of staff details. It is University policy we cannot do anything in this matter as per NCTE Norms 2014 we have chances to appoint assistant professor perspective having only M.Ed. and B.Ed. 55 percentage of Marks. Hence, we appointed one assistant professor perspective who is having such qualification Gulbarga, University has been approved that post too. If it rejected we would appoint other candidate one assistant professor Pedagogy language is shown to have M.A. without specifying the subject it is typing mistake but we mentioned as Pedagogy in Kannada separately in relevant column and submitted all certificate of that candidate to the University. Hence, it is not the fault from our side. The SCN is for B.Ed. college hence we were not submitted but we are having separate staff for D.El.Ed. approved by DSERT, Bangalore. We have given proper responses to every SCN within the time limit."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/04/2019 does not specifically point out the infrastructural facilities which are lacked and required to be rectified by the appellant institution. Referring to the Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 29/08/2018, Appeal Committee observed that appellant institution was required to submit (i) LUC, (ii) Latest E.C., (iii) Legible copy of Building Plan, (iv) Approved Building Completion Certificate with built up area, (v) original FDRs, (vi) Faculty list in original approved by Registrar.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted a reply dated 15/09/2018 to the SCN, wherein it requested for return of original F.D.Rs so as to enable them renew these FDRs. Appeal Committee further noted that an inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 14/11/2016 for its B.Ed. programme and the Visiting Team had reported that 'Institute has sufficient facilities of Class rooms (4), Multipurpose hall (2129 sq. feet.), Seminar Hall, Library, Admin. Office, staff rooms as per NCTE norms. Appeal Committee further observed that blue print of Building plan and Building Completion Certificate signed by Assistant Executive

Engineer, City Municipal Council Raichur are also available on regulatory file which could have been relied upon by the S.R.C. in the absence of a composite inspection for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programmes. Appellant institution has categorically submitted that B.Ed. & D.El.Ed. programmes are being conducted in different buildings. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing had further submitted a list of faculty containing the names of Principal signed by Registrar, Gulbarga University on each page on 14/05/2019. FDRs in original are also found available on the regulatory file. Appellant is required to submit list of faculty in original to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to S.R.C. for revisiting the matter objectively keeping in view that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. course since 2004 and had also deposited the inspection fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- to S.R.C. with an intention to shift its B.Ed. programme to be conducted from a new building.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to S.R.C. for revisiting the matter objectively keeping in view that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. course since 2004 and had also deposited the inspection fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- to S.R.C. with an intention to shift its B.Ed. programme to be conducted from a new building.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of HCMSK (Heroor Chandraraya Gouda Malhar Siddappa Gouda Kareddy) College of Education, Basaveshwar Colony, Raichur, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, HCMSK (Heroor Chandraraya Gouda Malhar Siddappa Gouda Kareddy) College of Education, Basaveshwar Colony, Raichur – 584101, Karnataka
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.



F.No.89-431/E-139717/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Ramnath Singh Mahavidyalaya, Gormi, District Bhind, Madhya Pradesh dated 30/10/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/OAPW0521/223030/B.Ed./310<sup>th</sup>/2019/205576 dated 28.08.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "on perusal of the reply of the institution the Committee observed that: The institution has submitted photocopy of the staff profile of 1+15 which is not approved by the competent authority of the affiliating university. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."


**AND WHEREAS** Dr. A. Singh, Chairman, Shri Ramnath Singh Mahavidyalaya, Gormi, District Bhind, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "institutions have already appointed qualified staff under the section 28(17) and place a application in a affiliating university dated 25/02/2019 and university constituted a committee for selection a teaching staff under the section 28(17) letter dated 02/08/2019 but before completing a process NCTE withdrew permission. We request that withdrawal order dated 28/08/2019 be cancelled and opportunity given to the college for submitting a new list of teaching staff appointed under code 28."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that WRC by its letter dated 24/01/2019 intimated the appellant institution that it is required to submit staff list approved by Competent Authority in prescribed performa in compliance with the terms and conditions of revised recognition order and Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated

27/07/2016. Appeal Committee further noted that though appellant institution informed WRC by its letter dated 20/02/2019 the status of selection of qualified faculty, yet approval of affiliating body as is required under the NCTE Regulations was not submitted. On being asked, appellant could not even submit the list of faculty approved by affiliating body on the day of appeal hearing i.e. 29/01/2020. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 28/08/2019 issued by WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 28/08/2019 issued by WRC.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Shri Ramnath Singh Mahavidyalaya, Gormi, District Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

4



F.No.89-432/E-139931/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Cooch Behar B.Ed. Training College, Tengonmari, PO. Rajarhat, Dist. Cooch Behar dated 26/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.50/APE00552/B.Ed./2019/61488 dated 02.09.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "building plan approved by Govt. Engineer is not submitted. Principal and two faculty members required as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 are not appointed. Request of the institution for extension of time is not accepted. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Parthapratim Deb., Secretary and Bimal Ch. Barman, Representative, Cooch Behar B.Ed. Training College, Tengonmari, PO. Rajarhat, Dist. Cooch Behar presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Building plan approved by Anchal Panchayet Pradhan was sent to ERC on three occasions. we do not understand why they always insisting on approval of Govt. Engineer. We have several times advertised the posts and repeatedly requested Register of WBUTTEPA for arranging expert etc. for holding interview for the said vacant posts. But the university fails to arrange the interview. We several times informed of the matter to the ERC. Recently the university official in a telephonic talk said that the arrangement of interview may be held in the month of November, 2019. We think the charges levelled against our institution are not tenable. The order of ERC as mentioned above i.e. withdrawal of recognition granting for conducting B.Ed. course should be set-aside."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 29/01/2020 was able to convince the Appeal Committee that institution continues to function from the same plot of land and building where it was

granted initial recognition for B.Ed. programme in 2007. The building plan submitted by appellant with the appeal memoranda is found approved by local village authority as per West Bengal Panchayat Act, 1973 and countersigned by Dist. Engineer, Zila Parishad, Cooch Bihar.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution is still deficient in so far as appointment of a Principal is concerned and already Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 21/02/2019, 18/05/2019 and 17/06/2019 were issued in this regard. Appeal Committee noted that ample time and opportunities have already been provided to the appellant institution to rectify the deficiency and appellant institution is still not sure of the time by which it would be able to comply with the requirement of appointing a Principal and adequate number of faculty. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 02/09/2019 on grounds of inability of appellant institution to appoint Principal and faculty members as required under NCTE Regulations.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 02/09/2019 on grounds of inability of appellant institution to appoint Principal and faculty members as required under NCTE Regulations.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Cooch Behar B.Ed. Training College, 661, NH-31, Tengonmari, PO. Rajarhat, Dist. Cooch Behar - 736165.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

5



F.No.89-433/E-140144/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Chanakya Foundation, Chanakya Nagar, Vill.–Chhitampur, PO-Koilwar, Bhojpur, Bihar dated 10/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14(i).3/ERCAPP201646142 (ID No.9858)/B.Ed./2019/61335 dated 22.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “no reply of SCN on the following grounds received within the stipulated time. Approved faculty list by the concerned affiliating body along with requisite documents. Approved building plan by the concerned competent Govt. Engineer/Authority. Approved building completion certificate by the concerned competent Govt. Engineer/Authority. FDRs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund after conversion into joint operation mode as prescribed in the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Confirmation on website updates of the institutions with all details along with affidavit. Fire safety certificate issued from the competent Govt. Authority. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ashok Gagan, Chairman and Dr. Arjun Sinha, Director, Chanakya Foundation, Chanakya Nagar, Vill.–Chhitampur, PO-Koilwar, Bhojpur, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Our college have already updated all the details on B.Ed. post app of Govt. of Bihar. As per the direction of Hon’ble Governor, Bihar the college have already been inspected by the 3 Members University Inspection Team on dt. 24/01/2019 vide University Letter dt. 19/01/2019. All the data, facts, figures, documents & information’s related to college was handed over the Inspecting


Team and everything was found in order by the Inspection Team and accordingly report was submitted to the Hon'ble Governor of Bihar by the University. The college is uploading the data on regular basis as per norms. We have already complied the directors of Hon'ble Chancellor of Bihar. Hence, request you to revoke the withdrawal order of ERC."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 22/08/2019 withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course was issued by ERC in pursuance of a complaint/communication of Governor's Sectt. Regard of suspicious conduct pertaining to uploading of photographs on B.Ed. post by a number of colleges and a common Show Cause Notice dated 08/02/2019 issued to 39 colleges by the ERC. The impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/08/2019 was issued by ERC obviously after the appellant failed to submit any reply. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 29/01/2020 submitted that compliance was reported online on the app of Government of Bihar. Appellant further submitted before Appeal Committee copies of (i) approved list of faculty, (ii) Approved building plan, (iii) Building Completion Certificate, (iv) FDRs, (v) Printout of Website, (vi) Fire Safety Certificate, (vii) Inspection report of affiliating university.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit in original all these documents to ERC in reply to the SCN dated 08/02/2019. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC on receiving a due reply to the S.C.N. with supporting evidences. ERC is required to revisit the matter for issuing an appropriate order afresh.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC to revisit the matter for issuing an appropriate order afresh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Chanakya Foundation, Chanakya Nagar, Vill.-Chhitampur, PO-Koilwar, Bhojpur, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Chanakya Foundation, Chanakya Nagar, Vill. – Chhitampur, PO-Koilwar, Bhojpur – 802160, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-434/E-140143/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dhupguri College of Education, Village – Roypara, District Jalpaiguri, West Bengal dated 24/09/2019 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.19/APEO1148/B.Ed./2019/61304 dated 20.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “faculty list is not approved by concerned affiliating body. Complaint received against the institution from Sh. Santosh Kumar Saha on the following:- “The M.A. Degree of Dr. Bobby Mahanta is false and fabricated. It is not clear that how a person beholding a responsible post of the principal can obtain a degree of a regular course like M.Ed. as a full time employee taking no leave and N.O.C. from Balurghat B.Ed. College enjoying full salary for the entire academic session of her M.Ed. Degree. The experience Certificates submitted by Dr. Bobby Mahanta are also false and fabricated. The mandatory requisite documents like Release order, NOC, LPC etc. from the previous employer to join the post of principal have not been submitted till date by Dr. Bobby Mahanta to this college. The M.A. Degree in History of Sri Sudeb Mali obtained from CMJ University Meghalaya is also false and fabricated. It appears that Sri Sudeb Mali obtained his B.Ed. degree from Balurghat B.Ed. College under the University of Gour Banga in the year 2011, while he obtained his M.A. in History degree from CMJ University, Meghalaya just after one year i.e. in the year 2012, which is absolutely absurd. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Brijesh Kr. Sharma, Director and Dr. Bobby Mahanta, Principal, Dhupguri College of Education, Village – Roypara, District Jalpaiguri, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and

during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institute had submitted Original Faculty List duly approved by the Registrar, West Bengal University of Teachers Training, Education, planning and administration (WBUTTEPA) vide letter dt. 01.04.19, however the same was not considered properly and recognition granted to the institution was withdrawn. No show cause was ever issued to the appellant on the complaint if any made by the said Sh. Santosh Kumar Saha. The ERC without verifying the facts and without giving any opportunity to the appellant has withdrawn the recognition."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 20/08/2019 issued by ERC is primarily on two points i.e. (i) faculty list is not approved by affiliating body and (ii) There is a complaint against the alleged forged M.A. degree of the Principal, Dr. Bobby Mahanta.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 21/05/2019 was issued to appellant institution on the following grounds:


- (i) The submitted faculty list comprise 1 + 14 instead of 1 + 15.
- (ii) The name of Dr. Bobby Mahanta is reflected in another institution viz. Balurghat B.Ed. college.
- (iii) Validity of FDRs has expired and need to be renewed.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 20/08/2019 is not based on the points for which written representation was sought from the appellant institution. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 29/01/2020 submitted before the Committee list of faculty approved by Registrar, West Bengal University of Teacher Training Education. The approval of the University is however, subject to results of Writ Petition No. 23789 (W) of 2018. Appeal Committee therefore, considers that ground of impugned order that faculty list is not approved by affiliating body is not substantiated.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that inspite of a complaint having been received in the office of ERC regarding alleged fake degree and alternate employment of Dr. Bobby Mahanta in Balurghat B.Ed. College, ERC has not conducted any fact finding enquiry or asked the appellant to provide supporting evidence to prove their claim to arrive at a reasonable conclusion. Withdrawal of recognition has a serious impact and must be done on the basis of proven facts. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the case after giving the appellant institution a fair opportunity to be heard and submit written representation also.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the case after giving the appellant institution a fair opportunity to be heard and submit written representation also.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dhupguri College of Education, Village – Roypara, District Jalpaiguri, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Dhupguri College of Education, Village – Roypara, Ward No. 16, District Jalpaiguri – 735210, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-436/E-140146/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Swami Vivekanand College run by (Pushpa Samaj Kalyan Evam Shiksha Prasara Samiti), Bhind, Madhya Pradesh dated 22/10/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05891/223645/B.Ed./310th/2019/205591 to 205598 dated 28.08.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 15.02.2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of Communication of the said order."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Ashwani Kumar Jain, President, Swami Vivekanand College run by (Pushpa Samaj Kalyan Evam Shiksha Prasara Samiti), Bhind, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we have submitted staff profile to the regional office on dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March 2017. We have submitted documents to the regional office on dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March 2017."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 28/08/2019 was issued by WRC for the reason that appellant institution had not submitted reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 15/02/2017. Appellant institution by the above referred S.C.N. was required to submit to WRC.

- (i) Original staff profile for the session 2015-16.
- (ii) Original notarised CLU/NEC/B.P. and B.C.C.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant in its appeal memoranda contended that reply to S.C.N. was furnished on 02/03/2017. On being asked by Appeal Committee, appellant, during the course of appeal hearing on 29/11/2020 submit copies of documents which were required to be submitted by the appellant institution in response to the SCN. Appellant further submitted copy of a courier receipt dated 02/03/2017. Appeal Committee on perusal of the copies of these documents observed that the date of issue of required documents is well before 02/03/2017 and appellant was in a position to submit these documents on 02/03/2017. Some how the reply dated 02/03/2017 submitted by appellant institution is not found available on the regulatory file. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided that appellant institution is required to furnish authenticated copies of these documents to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after appellant institution submits required documents.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after appellant institution submits required documents.

**NOW THEREFORE,** the Council hereby remands back the case of Swami Vivekanand College run by (Pushpa Samaj Kalyan Evam Shiksha Prasar Samiti), Bhind, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Swami Vivekanand College run by (Pushpa Samaj Kalyan Evam Shiksha Prasar Samiti), Khasra No. 2266, 2271, Etawah Road, Bhind – 477001, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-437/E-140125/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Vill. – Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Dausa, Rajasthan dated 26/10/2019 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-201616031, I.D. No. 9984/306<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2019 dated 13/11/2019 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “non-compliance of SCN issued on 28.05.2019. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected, and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution.”

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Principal, Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Vill. – Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Dausa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Letter of intent given by NRC, NCTE minutes of 294 held on date 28 to 29 Jan. 2019. We proceed for approval of staff from university of Rajasthan Jaipur 14/02/2019. Selection Committee panel given by UOR Jaipur on date 02/03/2019. Through the interview of the principal and the staff through the panel, the file and all the documents were submitted to the university of Rajasthan on 23/04/2019. Objection in approval by your Jaipur on date 02/07/2019. During this time, SCN was received from NCTE on 28/05/2019 and its reply was given to NCTE, NRC on 30/05/2019 along with all the documents. now the rejection order has been received again in 306 minutes, but after the selection of all the academic staff by the college, all the documents containing the documents have been submitted to the university on 09/09/2019.as soon as the approval of the academic staff from the university, the

fulfilment of the desired LOI will be done soon. sir, due to the delay made by the university, an opportunity should be given to the institution. Surely all the letters will be sent as soon as possible."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (L.O.I.) dated 08/02/2019 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance within 2 months. Appellant institution was further issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 28/05/2019 seeking reply within 30 days. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution by its letter dated 30/05/2019 informed NRC that list of selected candidates was forwarded to University of Rajasthan on 23/04/2019 and its approval is awaited. Appellant further requested NRC to grant extension of time for submitting final compliance in reply to L.O.I.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant institution relating to selection of faculty and approval granted by the University of Rajasthan on 11/11/2019. Appeal Committee taking into consideration that appellant requested NRC by its letter dated 30.05.2019 to extend the time for submitting compliance decided to remand back the case to WRC, which is now having jurisdiction over the State of Rajasthan, for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is required to submit a complete and comprehensive compliance report in response to L.O.I. to WRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC, which is now having jurisdiction over the State of Rajasthan, for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is required to submit a complete and comprehensive compliance report in response to L.O.I. to WRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Vill. – Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Dausa, Rajasthan to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Vill. – Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Dausa – 321609, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-438/E-140187/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Jain College of Education, Mhow Neemuch Road, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh dated 26/10/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00271/213033/B.Ed./M.P./310<sup>th</sup>/2019/206163 dated 05.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Letter dated 24.01.2019 was issued to the institution and reply received on 06.02.2019. The institution has submitted scanned copy of staff profile of 1+15. The staff at Sr. 7, 9 & 11 are not qualified as per NCTE amended Regulations 09.06.2017. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Gajraj Jain, Secretary and Dr. Brajesh Sharma, Principal, Jain College of Education, Mhow Neemuch Road, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that copy of the reply with original staff profile submitted by the institution dated 10-08-2016 and 22-08-2016 at WRC, Bhopal. WRC, Delhi office again issued a clarification letter on 24-01-2019 and demanded the latest staff profile. Copy of the reply with original staff profile (only photos are scan but all signatures are original) submitted by the institution dated 06-02-2019. It is not correct because we have already mentioned that the staff profile which was submitted on 10-08-2016, 22-08-2016 were obviously prior to the amending notification of June 2017. All teaching staff (1+15) were selected and approved by university by letters dated 09-02-2016, 29-02-2016 & 04-10-2016. The faculty was eligible otherwise completely in terms of the NCTE Norms and Standards introduced from 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that WRC issued a letter dated 06/02/2019 seeking reply from appellant institution for non submission of faculty list in

original and faculty at 7, 9 & 11 not being found qualified as per amended Regulations 09/06/2017. Appeal Committee noted that names of Ms. Indu Naruka, Sneha Sharma and Hazra Ghadyali appear in the list of faculty which is approved by Registrar Vikram University, Ujjain and submitted by appellant institution with its letter dated 06/02/2019. Appeal Committee noted that names of Ms. India Naruka and Sneha Sharma also appear in the approved faculty list for the year 2015-16 and submitted by appellant institution on 09/08/2016. The faculty list now submitted by appellant with its appeal memoranda indicates the date of appointment of Ms. Hazra Ghadyali as 08/10/2016. Appeal Committee is of the view that all the three faculty members having been appointed by appellant institution before the issue of amended NCTE Regulation, 2017, their eligibility cannot be tested under the amended regulations. So far submission of faculty list in original is concerned, appellant institution is required to submit list of faculty containing original photographs and signatures with dates of appointment of faculty. WRC will, however, be free to cross check the details of faculty i.e. the dates of appointment, payment of salary etc. from the year 2016 onwards. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jain College of Education, Mhow Neemuch Road, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Jain College of Education, Mhow Neemuch Road, Opp. Daite Mandsaur – 458001, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-439/E-140137/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ravoof & Vazir Khans Memorial College of Education, Chapuram Panchayat, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh dated 26/09/2019 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7944/B.Ed./AP/2019/06760 dated 10.07.2019 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that Certified copy of the land documents not submitted by the institution. The institution has not submitted a copy of approved building plan. Fine Arts, Performing Arts and Physical Education Teachers are not appointed by the institution. The institution has not submitted a copy of the educational certificate of all the faculty. It has not submitted experience certificate of the Principal. The Committee decided to withdraw the recognition granted to the institution for conducting B.Ed. course under clause 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 on the grounds mentioned above."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. K.M.Y. Parvez Khan, Correspondent, Ravoof & Vazir Khans Memorial College of Education, Chapuram Panchayat, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we submitted duly notarized relevant land documents in the regional language as well as the English translated copy to the office of SRC. That we are in possession of the original certified land documents. It is most respectfully submitted that they had submitted the duly approved building plan as per the norms & regulations laid down by the NCTE. It is important to mention herein that the sarpanch gram panchayat (Balaga Rural (Chapuram)) had duly endorsed the building plan however the same was done on the back side (back page) of the building plan which must have skipped the attention of the SRC. No show cause was ever issued to the appellant on this ground which is contrary to the provisions of section 17 of the act. the institution had duly submitted the original staff

profile in prescribed format mentioning all the details.”

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course with an intake of 100 seats by an order of NCTE dated 26/10/2007. Appellant institution was further issued a revised recognition order dated 06/05/2015 and further by issue of a corrigendum dated 22/06/2015 the intake for the course was restricted to one unit of 50 seats.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that as per conditions of the revised recognition order dated 06/05/2015 the institution was required to create additional facilities in the form of (a) additional built up area, (b) additional infrastructure, (c) additional funds, (d) additional staff. The requirements of additional facilities was in view of the fact that duration of B.Ed. course which earlier was one year was changed to a two years resulting in adding to the strength of students. Appeal Committee is of the opinion that in the present case, appellant having opted for reducing the intake from 100 to 50 was not required to appoint additional faculty and provide extra infrastructural facilities. FDRs valid upto February, 2022 were provided by the Institution.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that one of the reason for issue of impugned order of withdrawal is: *“Fine Arts, Performing Arts, Physical Education Teachers are not appointed. The institution has not submitted copy of educational certificate of all the faculty and experience certificate of Principal.”* Appellant in its appeal memoranda has denied having received any S.C.N. pointing out this deficiency. Appeal Committee after perusing the S.C.N. dated 08/04/2019 observed that appellant institution was asked to submit staff list duly approved by affiliating body as per prescribed format. The faculty list submitted by appellant institution in response to S.C.N. approved by B.R. Ambedkar University, Srikakulam does not indicate the date of appointment of faculty so as to ascertain the applicability of NCTE amended regulations of 2017.

So far as appointment of teachers for fine arts, performing art and physical education are concerned, appellant institution has submitted, during the course of

appeal hearing, a list containing the names of 2 faculty one each for physical education and performing art. This list is not countersigned / approved by affiliating university.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee decided that the proportionate strength of academic faculty for a reduced intake of 50 seats of B.Ed. course shall have some flexibility to appoint faculty of pedagogy subjects and teachers of fine arts, performing arts and health and physical education. Appeal Committee decided that the present case needs to be remanded back to S.R.C.as building plan is seen approved on the back side and there is a corresponding building completion certificate also. Appellant institution continues to operate from the same land and building where it was granted recognition and has opted for a reduced intake of one unit of 50 seats. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to S.R.C. for revisiting the matter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to S.R.C. for revisiting the matter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ravoof & Vazir Khans Memorial College of Education, Chapuram Panchayat, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Correspondent, Ravoof & Vazir Khans Memorial College of Education, Chapuram Panchayat, Srikakulam – 532001, Andhra Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-440/E-140318/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of St. Pauls College, Mandla Road, Gram Panchayat Pipariyakala, Kosamghat, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 02/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/OAPW0568/223074/B.Ed./310<sup>th</sup>/2010/205959 dated 04.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that the summary of the case reveals that the reply was not submitted within the stipulated time of 30 days as per the Show Cause Notice. Moreover, the staff profile for approved intake, FDRs of 5+3 lakhs, Building Completion Certificate are not submitted in original, Additional FDRs of 4 lakhs, NEC, CLU & Building plan is also not submitted. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ashok Soni, Registrar and Sh. G.P. Tiwari, H.O.D., St. Pauls College, Mandla Road, Gram Panchayat Pipariyakala, Kosamghat, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the FDRs were misplaced by the college, new FDRs had to be made.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 04/09/2019 withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course is primarily on the ground that reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 27/07/2016 was not submitted by appellant institution within stipulated time of 30 days. Appeal Committee observed that reply to S.C.N. was submitted by appellant institution on 16/09/2016 which was received in the office of WRC on 19/09/2016. Appeal Committee further noted that FDRs, staff profile (1+15) approved by affiliating body, B.C.C. etc. are found enclosed


with this reply on the regulatory file. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided that issue of impugned order dated 04/09/2019 after three years of the S.C.N. having been issued and reply to SCN having been received is not justified.

**AND WHEREAS** further on going through the submission made by appellant on the day of appeal, Appeal Committee noted that land and building document including Building Completion Certificate pertain to a different address which were submitted by the appellant and are available on the regulatory file. This clearly indicates that appellant institution is not functioning from the address at which it was granted recognition. Unless shifting of premises of appellant institution has been applied for the institution and granted by WRC, it is a serious irregularity which needs to be looked into by revisiting the case by WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after thoroughly examining the case and according reasonable opportunity to the appellant institution to submit written representation on any new ground on which the case needs to be investigated and for which a copy of submissions made by appellant on 29/01/2020 are enclosed. The present impugned order of withdrawal dated 04/09/2019 is not sustainable for the grounds mentioned therein. The case is remanded back to WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that the case needs to be investigated on new ground for which a copy of submissions made by appellant on 29/01/2020 are enclosed. The Present impugned order of withdrawal dated 04/09/2019 is not sustainable for the grounds mentioned therein. The case is remanded back to WRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Pauls College, Mandla Road, Gram Panchayat Pipariyakala, Kosamghat, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, St. Pauls College, Mandla Road, Gram Panchayat Pipariyakala, Kosamghat, Jabalpur – 482001, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-442/E-140315/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of AISECT College of Education and Technology, Village – Balkhadsura, Post – Chhegaon Makhan, Khandwa – Indore Road, Khandwa, Madhya Pradesh dated 01/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APP3106/D.El.Ed./311<sup>th</sup>/(M.P.)/2019/206587 dated 10.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the SCN was issued to the institution on 23.01.2019. The institution has submitted reply of SCN on 06.02.2019. On perusal of the reply of the institution the Committee observed that: AISECT University being a private University is not empowered to affiliate any institute including Teacher Training Institute. Hence, NOC issued for D.El.Ed. programme to the institute under consideration is not acceptable. Hence, the Committee decided to refuse the recognition under Section 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for D.El.Ed. programme.”

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Amitabh Saxena, Director, AISECT College of Education and Technology, Village – Balkhadsura, Post – Chhegaon Makhan, Khandwa – Indore Road, Khandwa, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that AISECT Society applied for D.El.Ed. program on 29.6.2015. That time society was intent to establish AISECT University campus at Khandwa. Due to delay in Government procedures Society established the college. Today AISECT College of Education and Technology is functional with the affiliation B.A. and B.Com. with Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore. Many letters written to NCTE-WRC informing that the Rajya Shiksha Kendra will be the affiliating body for D.El.Ed. program. List of letters written to


NCTE-WRC are given below- 1. Letter No. AISECT/D.El.Ed./2017/468, dt. 04/04/2017 society informed to NCTE-WRC that the institution will be affiliated with Rajya Shiksha Kendra. 2. letter No. AISECT/D.El.Ed./2017/496, dt. 28/11/2017 again inform to the NCTE-WRC stating that the affiliating agency will be Rajya Shiksha Kendra. 3. Letter No. AISECT/NCTE/reply/2019/511, dt. 6/2/2019 sponsoring body again inform that affiliating agency will be Rajya Shiksha Kendra. It has been made clear many times that the affiliating body will be Rajya Shiksha Kendra, Bhopal.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted its online application dated 29/06/2015 seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution submitted alongwith its application a N.O.C. issued by AISECT University whereas affiliating body for D.El.Ed. programme is Rajya Shiksha Kendra, Bhopal, M.P. Appeal Committee considered that application submitted was not in accordance with Clause 5 (3) of the NCTE Regulations.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant submitted copy of a letter dated 19/01/2016 issued by Rajya Shiksh Kendra (RSK) Bhopal which was addressed to WRC. By this letter R.S.K. conveyed the recommendations of State Government in respect of 49 institutions which sought recognition for starting D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee noted that Regional Committee sought recommendation of the State Government in compliance with Regulations 7 (4) of the NCTE Regulation and these recommendations do not absolve the applicant institution of the requirement to obtain N.O.C. from the affiliating body and submit it with the application. Appeal Committee therefore, considers that provision of Rule 5 (3) were not complied with by the applicant. Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 10.10.2019.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 10.10.2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Director, AISECT College of Education and Technology, Village – Balkhadsura, Post – Chhegaon Makhn, Khandwa – Indore Road, Khandwa – 450771, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-443/E-140222/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Kairwali, Puranabas, Neem Ka Thana, Sikar, Rajasthan dated 02/11/2019 is against the Order No. NRC/NRCAPP-14723/289<sup>th</sup>/Meeting/2018/198173 dated 30.10.2018 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "SCN has been issued to the institution dated 29.06.2018. In reply, the institution has submitted another list of faculty. As per the list, lecturer in Physical Education was not found eligible for the post. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Jai Chand Ji, Lokesh Kumar, Director, Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Kairwali, Puranabas, Neem Ka Thana, Sikar, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant institution submitted a list of approved staff in consonance of LOI dated 26.2.2018 and it cannot be said that the petitioner has submitted a list which is not approved by the University. So far as the lecturer in Physical Education qualification is concerned, he is having M.P.Ed., who is fully eligible as per NCTE Norms & Regulations."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had filed a S.B. W.P. No. 17867 of 2019 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan Bench at Jaipur and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 22/10/2019 directed the appellant to file an appeal which NCTE is required to decide ignoring the limitation period.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 30/10/2018 is on the ground that lecturer in Physical Education was not found eligible for the post. Appeal Committee noted that as per NCTE Regulations the Physical Education the faculty is required to possess M.P.Ed. with minimum of 55 % marks. Appellant institution with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of certificate of Sh. Rakesh Kr. Ganesh which shows that the candidate had qualified the M.P.Ed. exam in first Div. Securing 630 marks out of 1000. Appeal Committee noted that earlier the appellant institution had failed to mention the % of marks in the list of faculty approved by affiliating university.

**AND WHEREAS** keeping in view the submission made by appellant during the appeal hearing on 29/01/2020, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Kairwali, Puranabas, Neem Ka Thana, Sikar, Rajasthan to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Kairwali, Puranabas, Kotra Road, Neem Ka Thana, Sikar – 332713, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-444/E-140773/2019 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Mtg.-2020/29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 17/02/2020

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Swami Vivekanand Mahavidhyalay, Radha Krishanan Building after M.P.Ed. Colony, Satai, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 30/09/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05950/223664/B.Ed./310<sup>th</sup>/2019/206156 dated 05.09.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the summary of the case reveals that the reply was not submitted within the stipulated time of 30 days as per the Show Cause Notice. Moreover, the staff profile for approved intake & Building Completion Certificate are not submitted in original, Date of appointment of staff are not mentioned in the staff list. CLU, NEC are also not submitted. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Alok Shukla, Assistant Director and Sh. Pradeep Chourasia, Representative, Swami Vivekanand Mahavidhyalay, Radha Krishanan Building after M.P.Ed. Colony, Satai, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 29/01/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation relevant documents were submitted.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 12/05/2017 was issued to appellant institution. The institution submitted a reply dated 17/06/2017 received in the office of WRC on 21/06/2017. Issue of impugned order of withdrawal after more than 2 years is not appreciated at all. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 29/01/2020 submitted a fresh list of faculty which contains the dates of appointment of all the faculty. Appellant further submitted copies of building

plan, Building Completion Certificate, FDRs, N.E.C. dated 31/10/2019 etc. Appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. course since 2008. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit certified copies of all these documents to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits required documents within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits required documents within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Swami Vivekanand Mahavidhyalay, Radha Krishanan Building after M.P.Ed. Colony, Satai, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The President, Swami Vivekanand Mahavidhyalay, Radha Krishanan Building after M.P.Ed. Colony, 1805, Satai, Chhatarpur – 471408, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.